

# LA CONGÉLATION **ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS, IN CIVIL ENGINEERING**



Phénomènes physiques à l'œuvre lors de la congélation et Risques associés

CFMS SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL DAY NOVEMBER  $17^{TH}$  2023







LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

# Phénomènes physiques à l'œuvre lors de la congélation et risques associés

# Estimation des paramètres par essais en laboratoire.

Louis DELMAS<sup>2</sup> Zeina Joudieh<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LEMTA, CNRS, Université de Lorraine <sup>2</sup> Bouygues Travaux Publics



# **Thermal Parameters**

Usual parameters of Soil Characterization for Artificial ground freezing (AGF) are ususally sufficient to estimate thermal parameters.



Water content Bulk Density/Dry Density

Quartz content should be measured as it has a significant impact on thermal conductivity XRF (X-Ray fluorescence spectroscopy)

In our experience, Thermal parameters derived from correlations are sufficient for AGF Design and fit properly with in-situ observation during works

To get an exact fit of numerical model with in-situ observation these parameters can be retro-fitted (more on that later)



LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023 Johansen (1975)

$$k_{sat} = \left(k_{q}^{q}k_{0}^{1-q}\right)^{1-n}k_{i}^{n-n_{u}}k_{w}^{n_{u}}$$

 $k_a$ : thermal conductivity of quartz (7.7 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup>)  $k_0$ : thermal conductivity of solid content (2-3 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup>)  $k_i$ : thermal conductivity of ice (2.21 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup> at 0°C)  $k_w$ : thermal conductivity of water (0.56 W.m<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup> at 0°C) n : porosity

n<sub>u</sub> : porosity unfrozen

$$c_{sat} = \rho_d (c_s + c_w w_u + c_i (1 - w_u))$$

 $\rho_d$ : dry density  $c_s$ : heat capacity of solid part (0.7-0.9 kJ.kg<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup>)  $c_w$ : heat capacity of water (4.22 kJ.kg<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup> at 0°C)  $c_i$ : heat capacity of ice (2.09 kJ.kg<sup>-1</sup>.°C<sup>-1</sup> at 0°C) w<sub>u</sub> : unfrozen water content

$$L = \rho_d L_w \frac{w - w_u}{100}$$

 $\rho_d$ : dry density  $L_w$  : Latent heat of fusion for water (334 kJ.kg<sup>-1</sup>) w : water content w<sub>u</sub> : unfrozen water content







# Hydraulic parameters

Water flow can have a significant impact on ice development. Maximum flow speed to close 1m space between freeze pipes :

Brine : 5m/day

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

Liquid Nitrogen : 15-20m/day

In sensitive contexts, flow speed should be measured/estimated prior to operations:

- Using tracing test (fluorescein, ..)
- Based on hydrogeological modeling, considering dam effect from adjacent structures

Flow speed can have an impact on ice development rate and should be considered in design :

- Delays to get watertightness
- Dissymetry of frozen body
- Redistribution of water gradients Increase of flow speed in openings



Validation of design in coupled hydrothermal FEM Modification of freezing operations to freeze permeable ground units first (fractured rock, gravel..)



Sanger and Sayles (1979)

$$uc = rac{k_f}{4 S \ln \left( rac{S}{4 r_0} 
ight)} rac{V_S}{V_0}$$
 (m/day)

r<sub>0</sub> (m) pipe diameter  $k_f$  (W/(m . °C)) thermal conductivity of soil S (m) pipe spacing V<sub>s</sub> (°C) abs. of refrigerant temperature  $V_0$  (°C) abs. of soil initial temperature









# Mechanical parameters - Creep

Freezing the pore water in the soil results in an increase in bulk resistance in the short term

Creep is a time dependent deformation at constant load:

Temperature dependent (Usually -10°C for mechanical behavior) Uniaxial Creep test to characterize creep law :

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma}{E} + \varepsilon_k \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_k}\right)^k + \dot{\varepsilon}_c \left(\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_c}\right)^c t \qquad \qquad \varepsilon = \frac{\sigma_1}{E_0} + A\sigma$$

The « French » approach to calculate  $\sigma(t, \varepsilon)$ :

Time t: Duration of frozen soil mobilization (unsupported) i.e. excavation phase (24-48h)

Deformation ε: Failure strain is independent of stress and time



BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

The « German » approach to calculate  $\sigma(t, \epsilon, \dot{\epsilon})$  (Orth 1986-88): Stage 3 should be avoided.

 $\dot{\varepsilon}$  min => beginning of stage 2 =>  $\varepsilon$ 









### **Frost Heave**

Two physical phenomena should be considered :

Volume increase of pore water +9%

With n=0.4 :  $\Delta V \approx 3.6\%$ 

Cryogenic succion

Due to contact forces at the surface of the grains (Van der Waals) freezing point of water is decreased and is at an unstable physical state (Clausius-Clapeyron equation) To counteract the unstability succion is created toward the freezing fringe Water flows toward the freezing fringe and ice lenses are created.

This phenomenon was described empirically by Konrad and Morgenstern (1981) using the segregation potential (SP)

- SP is accessible using frost Heave test (ASTM 5918)
- SP value can be corrected to account for vertical stress (Konrad and Morgenstern)

Cryogenic succion is supposed to decrease exponentially with stress according to empirical study by Konrad and Morgenstern.

However if water is available, -even under large stresses-, cryogenic succion can exist



BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

- Permeable/Fractured ground unit closeby,
- Drainage along Tunnel segments/diaphragm wall..





$$\dot{\varepsilon} = SP \ grad(T) \Big|_{0^{\circ}C}$$

Konrad and Morgenstern (1981)

$$SP(\sigma) = SP_0 e^{-a\sigma}$$





### **Frost Heave**

Two physical phenomena should be considered :

Volume increase of pore water +9%

With n=0.4 :  $\Delta V \approx 3.6\%$ 

**Cryogenic suction** 

Due to contact forces at the surface of the grains (Van der Waals) freezing point of water is decreased and is at an unstable physical state (Clausius-Clapeyron equation) To counteract the unstability suction is created toward the freezing fringe Water flows toward the freezing fringe and ice lenses are created.

This phenomenon was described empirically by Konrad and Morgenstern (1981) using the segregation potential (SP)

SP is accessible using frost Heave test (ASTM 5918)

SP value can be corrected to account for vertical stress (Konrad and Morgenstern)

Cryogenic succion is supposed to decrease exponentially with stress according to empirical study by Konrad and Morgenstern.

However if water is available, -even under large stresses-, cryogenic suction can exist



Permeable/Fractured ground unit close by,



BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

Drainage along Tunnel segments/diaphragm wall..





Konrad and Morgenstern (1981)

$$SP(\sigma) = SP_0 e^{-a\sigma}$$





# **Grand Paris Express – T2A – 1404P**

27m cross passage between a shaft and tunnel (at ~30m depth)

- Calcaires de Saint-Ouen in upper part : grouted
  - Sables de Beauchamp (silty-clay) in lower part : frozen

According to Laboratory test (Frost heave test) cryogenic succion was negligible.

Deformations were observed and related with the increase of the 0°C isotherm: As the volume defined by isotherm 0°C increased deformations were observed Increase in brine Temperature and deactivation of selected freeze pipes "Stabilization" of isotherm 0°C position led to "stabilization" of displacements Regression of isotherm 0°C led to stabilization of displacements Once isotherm 0°C developed further than historical max value displacement increased at initial rate.

Displacements being correlated with isotherm 0°C position :

Retro-analysis of temperature distribution and correlation with tunnel displacements Volume increase ratio 2.5-2.7% could explain the history of deformations.

### Lesson learned so far :

Increase of volume due to phase change should be taken into account in design even under large stresses



LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023



















# **Processes governing fine-grained soil freezing**



(*Joudieh 2023*)

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

Passive frozen zone

Frozen fringe

Active unfrozen zone



Summary of a frozen soil profile and the processes that govern freezing







# Factors influencing frost heave

### Factors influencing soil freezing

Condition	Factor
Site conditions	<ul> <li>Soil type, grain size,</li> <li>Water content, water availability,</li> <li>Applied load, Overburden pressure</li> <li>Soil temperature, temperature gradient</li> </ul>
Project settings and choices	<ul> <li>Freezing temperature</li> <li>Distance from the injection axis,</li> <li>Thickness of soil layer(s) above tunnel,</li> <li>Thickness of the frozen soil</li> </ul>



La congélation \_ Artificial freezing of soils in Civil engineering Phénomènes physiques à l'œuvre lors de la congélation et Risques associés November 17<sup>th</sup> 2023

### Factors influenced by overburden pressure

Factor	Reference
Water content and Water migration	Penner and Ueda 1977; Loch and Kay 1978; Ming et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2021
Suction in the frozen fringe	Konrad and Morgenstern 1982; Ji et al. 2022
Segregation temperature	Konrad 1980; Azmatch 2013; Ji et al. 2022
Thickness of the frozen fringe	Konrad and Morgenstern 1982; Xia et al. 2005; Ji et al. 2022



# Effect of overburden pressure external on water intake

### Effect of overburden pressure on water intake

### Saturated silty clay:

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

H = 11 cm, D = 10 cm,w =22.3%,  $\rho_d$  = 1.75 g/cm<sup>3</sup>  $T_{top} = -2 \degree C$ ,  $T_{bottom} = +2 \degree C$ , freezing time = 96 hours

- As stress  $\nearrow$  time to absorb water  $\nearrow \rightarrow$  heave  $\searrow$  $\bullet$
- Water absorption starts when the advance rate of ulletthe freezing front < critical value

### heave **\** External water intake \ as stress 7

(Penner and Ueda 1977; Loch and Kay 1978; Ming et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2021)

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023



Variations of the vertical deformations and water intakes of the saturated silty clay soil samples under different applied pressures (Zhang et al. 2017)



# Effect of overburden pressure?

- Develop and ameliorate an experimental setup lacksquare
- Establish a test procedure  $\bullet$

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

- Carry on tests to understand the behavior of soil  $\bullet$ during both freezing and thawing under different temperature conditions and applied pressures
- Use the acquired data to develop a model • capable of predicting the F-T behavior of soil under applied pressure







# Modified temperature-controlled oedometer



### Sample size

- Surface area of 40 cm<sup>2</sup>
- Diameter of 71.4 mm
- Height of 20 or 40 mm

### **Technical Specifications**

- Temperature: 40 -> + 90 °C
- Maximum axial stress up to 5000 kPa



### Modified temperature-controlled oedometer



Schematic diagram and photograph of the modified TC oedometric system

### Modified temperature-controlled oedometer Repeatability

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Modified temperature-controlled oedometer Repeatability

Silty soil: H = 2 cm, water content = 17.2%, dry density = 1.75 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Modified temperature-controlled oedometer

6 months of a heavy experimental plan to:

- Develop a prototype: a miniature heave test
- Check the repeatability of the results using LP
- Check saturation inside the TC oedometer
- Validate the experimental protocol  $\bullet$

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

Silty soil: H = 4 cm, water content = 17.2%, dry density =  $1.75 \text{ Mg/m}^3$ 

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_14.jpeg)

### Freeze-thaw tests on silty sand under applied pressures Test protocol

1. Sample preparation

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

2. Sample saturation + temperature homogenization

**Retained value:** 

H = 2 cmD = 7.1 cmWater content = 16.5 % Dry density=  $1.7 \text{ Mg/m}^3$  Applied pressure = 100 kPa for 10 mins to ensure contact Applied pressure = 10 kPa  $T_{cell} = +4 \sim 5 \,{}^{\circ}C$ Saturation time = 65 hours

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

### Freeze-thaw tests on silty sand under applied pressures

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Freeze-thaw tests on silty sand under applied pressures

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Applied stress σ' (kPa)

## Conclusions

- AGF = ft (Soil type, grain size, water content, water availability, applied load...)
- $\bullet$ the thickness of the frozen fringe, permeability (partially frozen soil)
- Heave  $\searrow$  as applied pressure  $\nearrow$

BOUYGUES TRAVAUX PUBLICS

Further research on higher applied pressure is in perspective  $\bullet$ 

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

Overburden pressure affects water content, water migration, suction in the frozen fringe segregation temperature,

![](_page_20_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_12.jpeg)

# Questions?

LA CONGÉLATION \_ ARTIFICIAL FREEZING OF SOILS IN CIVIL ENGINEERING PHÉNOMÈNES PHYSIQUES À L'ŒUVRE LORS DE LA CONGÉLATION ET RISQUES ASSOCIÉS NOVEMBER 17<sup>TH</sup> 2023

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Thank You

I.delmas@bouygues-construction.com zeina.joudieh@univ-lorraine.fr

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

### References

- Azmatch TF (2013) Frost Heave: New Ice Lens Initiation Condition and Hydraulic Conductivity Prediction. PhD Thesis, University of Alberta ٠
- Harris JS (1995) Ground freezing in practice. Thomas Telford, London, New York, NY ۲
- ۲ https://doi.org/10.1007/s12205-022-0603-6
- Joudieh Z (2023) An overview of the state-of-the-art on artificial ground freezing AGF. Internal report, ٠
- Jarad N (2016) Temperature impact on the consolidation and creep behavior of compacted clayey soils. Ph.D., University of Lorraine ۲
- Konrad JM (1980) Frost heave mechanics. PhD Thesis, University of Alberta ۲
- Konrad J-M, Morgenstern NR (1980) A mechanistic theory of ice lens formation in fine-grained soils. Can Geotech J 17:473–486. https://doi.org/10.1139/t80-056 ۲
- ۲ 406. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1978.03615995004200030005x
- ۲ https://doi.org/10.1007/s12303-016-0005-1
- ٠ Science and Technology 192:103379. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coldregions.2021.103379
- Penner E, Ueda T (1977) The dependence of frost heaving on load application preliminary results. Proceedings of an International Symposium on Frost Action in Soils 1:92–101 •
- ۲ 238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tust.2015.07.008
- Xia D (2005) Frost heave studies using digital photographic technique. MSc Thesis, University of Alberta ۲
- Xia D, Arenson L, Biggar K, Sego D (2005) Freezing process in Devon silt using time-lapse photography ٠
- 467. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2017.02.069

Ji Y, Zhou G, Hall MR, et al (2022) Thermal-Hydraulic-Mechanical Coupling Research on Overburden Pressure Mitigated Ice Lens Growth in the Freezing Soil. KSCE J Civ Eng 26:1606–1617.

Loch JPG, Kay BD (1978) Water Redistribution in Partially Frozen, Saturated Silt Under Several Temperature Gradients and Overburden Loads. Soil Science Society of America Journal 42:400-

Ming F, Zhang Y, Li D (2016) Experimental and theoretical investigations into the formation of ice lenses in deformable porous media. Geoscience Journal 20:667–679.

Lu X, Zhang F, Qin W, et al. (2021) Experimental investigation on frost heave characteristics of saturated clay soil under different stress levels and temperature gradients. Cold Regions

Russo G, Corbo A, Cavuoto F, Autuori S (2015) Artificial Ground Freezing to excavate a tunnel in sandy soil. Measurements and back analysis. Tunnel Underground Space Technol 50:226-

Zhang X, Zhang M, Lu J, et al (2017) Effect of hydro-thermal behavior on the frost heave of a saturated silty clay under different applied pressures. Applied Thermal Engineering 117:462-

![](_page_22_Picture_27.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_28.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_29.jpeg)